UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/593,984	09/25/2006	Gerhard Meixner	3825	3404	
Michael J Strike	7590 08/14/200 er	EXAMINER			
Striker, Striker	& Stenby	LOPEZ, MICHELLE			
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/593,984	MEIXNER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		3721					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michelle Lopez						
Period for Reply	cars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Se	ontombor 2006						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> .  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
<del>'=</del>	, <del></del>						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under L	x parte Quayre, 1900 C.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/25/06.  5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  6) Other:							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/25/06</u> . 6)							

Application/Control Number: 10/593,984 Page 2

Art Unit: 3721

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

## Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/25/06 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-6, 9-12, and 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stirm et al. 7,331,407. Stirm discloses an electric power tool having a drive unit (via motor shaft

Application/Control Number: 10/593,984 Page 3

Art Unit: 3721

as best shown in figs. 3, 5-7, and 12) contained in a housing (fig. 1), an impact mechanism and a handle 6 (see fig. 1); a cam (533,633,833,1033,1133,1233,1332); the impact mechanism has moving parts, wherein at least two of the moving parts are able to move inside a guide cylinder (530,630,730,930, etc.) that is stationary in relation to the moving parts and the cam (claim 1). A piston and a striker are provided as the moving parts (claim 2). The piston is connected to the drive unit by means of a drive element as a crank rod (claims 3-4). The piston and the drive element are connected to each other by a pin 36 as best shown in fig. 1 (claim 5), wherein a pin axis and a rotation axis of the drive unit are oriented in at an angle to each other (claim 6). The piston and the striker have the same diameter as best shown in the embodiment of fig. 8 (claim 9). A slider crank via 751 is provided to transmit the force between the cam and the drive element, wherein a ball (not shown numerically) is able to move inside the slider crank as shown in fig. 8 (claims 10-11). The embodiments of figs. 6-8 and 10-12 shows a piston and a striker as claimed (claim 15).

With respect to claim 12, the language "it is possible to adjust an angle" is functional and afforded light weight because it is predicated on a future act. Furthermore, the functional language is no supported by sufficient structure to perform the adjustment of said angle.

With respect to claim 14, Stirm's fig. 1 shows wherein the drive unit at the vicinity of 32 is situated centrally in relation to a longitudinal span of the handle 6.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stirm et al. 7,331,407. Stirm discloses an electric power tool having a piston and a drive element connected to each other by a pin, but fails to disclose wherein said piston and drive element are integrally joined to each other. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have integrally connect Stirm's piston and drive element, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art, and it would be for the purpose of reducing manufacturing process and/or costs. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893).

With respect to claim 8, Stirm fails to disclose wherein said drive element is made from plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided said drive element comprised of plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice, and it would be for the benefits of providing a material with the desired rigidity and flexibility for properly transmitting an impact force. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stirm et al. 7,331,407 in view of Ousback 7,901,981. Stirm discloses an electric power tool having an impact mechanism with a piston and a drive element (crank rod) substantially as claimed, but fails to disclose wherein said drive element has a cranked section which allow adjustment of the angle between a longitudinal axis of the guide cylinder and the a rotation axis of the drive unit.

Ousback shows an electric power tool comprising a drive unit 6 with a rotational axis, an impact

Application/Control Number: 10/593,984

Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

mechanism having a guide cylinder 14 with a longitudinal axis and a piston 11 driven within said guide cylinder by a drive element 10, 9 as shown in fig. 1, wherein the drive element has a cranked section provided via the pivotal connection between 10 and 9 for adjusting the angle between the longitudinal axis of the guide cylinder and the rotation axis of the drive unit. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Stirm's drive element (crank rod) with a cranked section as taught by Ousback in order to change the angle between the rotational axis of the drive element and the longitudinal axis of the guide cylinder.

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stirm et al. 7,331,407 in view of Pyatov 4,828,046. Stirm discloses an electric power tool having a piston substantially as claimed, but fails to disclose wherein said piston is made from a light alloy. Pyatov teaches the concept of a percussion power tool having an impact piston made from a light alloy, i.e. aluminum, for the purpose of provide a piston made from a material which will properly transmit an impact force while enhancing its durability. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided Stirm's piston made from an alloy as taught by Pyatov to provide durability of the piston.

### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See the attached PTO-892 for related art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Lopez whose telephone number is 571-272-4464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday: 8:00 am - 6:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can

Application/Control Number: 10/593,984 Page 6

Art Unit: 3721

be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application

or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ML/

Patent Examiner

/Rinaldi I Rada/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3721